UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. William Shane Early	Case No. 1:12 CR 257
	Defendant	Case No. 1.12 ON 237
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destru a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
Alternative Findings (A)		
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in: .*
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
(1)	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	•
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
evidence defenda with con drugs an sentenc 18 USC	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that: ant is a 37-year-old unemployed unemployed man with a history in a drug conspiracy. Officers executing a search warrand cash, as well as a firearm. Defendant has three adult felony es. He is presently on parole in Illinois for a drug conviction. The sec 3142(g)(3)(B). Defendant has spent much of his adult life is despite arrest and conviction.	on hearing establishes by ✓ clear and convincing y of drug abuse. He is living in Illinois. He is charged ant at his house in 2010 discovered a large quantity of drug convictions, for which he served substantial prison his is a specific statutory factor in favor of detention. See
Part III – Directions Regarding Detention		
correction appeal.	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gene his facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awa The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to co- purt or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person	iting or serving sentences or held in custody pending nsult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: _/s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: ___ March 20, 2013